

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Reconsideration of the application is requested.

Claims 1-4 and 6-7 remain in the application. Claims 1 and 6-7 have been amended. Claim 5 has been cancelled.

In item 2 on pages 2-3 of the above-mentioned Office action, claims 1-4 have been rejected as being anticipated by Carme et al. (US Pat. No. 6,026,747) under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b).

The rejection has been noted and claim 1 has been amended in an effort to even more clearly define the invention of the instant application. More specifically, the feature of claim 5 has been added to claim 1. Since claim 5 contains allowable subject matter as indicated in item 3 on page 3 of the Office action, claim 1 is now believed to be allowable. Since claims 2-4 are ultimately dependent on claim 1, they are believed to be patentable as well.

Applicants acknowledge the Examiner's statement in item 3 on page 3 of the above-mentioned Office action that claims 5-7 would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.


The feature of claim 5 has been added to claim 1. Since claims 6-7 are dependent on allowable claim 1, they are believed to be allowable in dependent form.

In view of the foregoing, an early issuance of a Notice of Allowance to claims 1-4 and 6-7 is solicited.

In the event the Examiner should still find any of the claims to be unpatentable, counsel would appreciate a telephone call so that, if possible, patentable language can be worked out.

If an extension of time for this paper is required, petition for extension is herewith made. Please charge any fees which might be due with respect to 37 CFR Sections 1.16 and 1.17 to the Deposit Account of Lerner and Greenberg, P.A., No. 12-1099.

Respectfully submitted,



For Applicants

YONGHONG CHEN
REG. NO. 56,150

YC

November 24, 2004
Lerner and Greenberg, P.A.
Post Office Box 2480
Hollywood, FL 33022-2480
Tel: (954) 925-1100
Fax: (954) 925-1101